

3600.6619

Docket: AM-6619

Remarks

Claims 1-21 and 25-37, 39, and 42 remain in the application.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because the reference numeral "20" has been used to refer to two different elements. The problem actually arises from an error in the text, which has been corrected by the amendment to page 6 of the specification.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 38, 40, and 41 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶1 as not being supported in the specification and hence introducing new matter. Applicants believe that the subject matter recited in Claims 40 and 41 is supported on page 10, line 15, 30 and that the limitation of Claim 38 is inherent in the entire description which never states that the magnetizing is rotating, thus implying that the magnetic field is stationary. The amendment producing Claim 38 merely "clarifies or completes the original disclosure," *Triax Co. v. Harman Metal Fabricators, Inc.*, 178 U.S.P.Q. 142, 146 (2d Cir. 1973) and the amendments "simply made explicit a disclosure which was implicit in the application as filed" *Tektronix, Inc. V. United States*, 198 U.S.P.Q. 378 (Ct. Cl. 1978). However, in view of the allowance of broader parent claims and to expedite issuance, these claims have been canceled.

The Examiner has allowed Claims 1-21, 25-37, 39, and 42.


3600.6619

Docket: AM-6619

In view of the above amendments and remarks, prompt issuance of a notice of allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would be helpful, he is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the listed telephone number, which is on California time.

Date: 18 Dec. 2003
Correspondence Address
Patent/Legal Dept.; M/S 2061
Applied Materials, Inc.
P.O. Box 450A
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Respectfully submitted,


Charles S. Guenzer
Registration No. 30,640
(650) 566-8040